WHAT INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE ON THE 2014-15 UO with ALL AAU PUBLIC PEERS COMPARISON OF FACULTY SALARIES?

Data are collected according to criteria defined by the AAU Data Exchange (AAUDE). See footnotes on reports for more detail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campus Unit</th>
<th>UO Average Salary</th>
<th>UO Average Years in Rank</th>
<th>UO Full Time Equivalent</th>
<th>ALL AAU Public Peers Average Salary</th>
<th>ALL AAU Public Peers Average FTE</th>
<th>ALL AAU Public Peers Rank Average as % of UO Overall Rank Average</th>
<th>ALL AAU Public Peers Rank Average as % of UO Overall Rank Average^</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UO Average Salary</td>
<td>Based on salary data from October 2013.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average salary by rank compared to UO or ALL AAU Public Peers overall average salary. Indication of relative standing in relationship to all UO or ALL AAU Public Peers faculty included in the study.

Average salary by rank compared to UO or ALL AAU Public Peers average salary for that rank. Indication of relative standing in relationship to all UO or ALL AAU Public Peers faculty in the same rank. Calculated only on reports containing rank information. *New on 2010-11 reports.

**Weighted Averages:** The departmental, division, school/college, and overall averages on these reports are weighted by the FTE distribution within each department, division, school/college, or the UO as a whole. This is also true for the respective AAU peer group being compared (ALL AAU Public Peers). However, the FTE distributions for the UO and the respective AAU peer group within a comparison group (department, division, school/college, overall) are often not the same, often resulting in an overall UO average that is a lower percentage of the overall AAU average than any of the contributing averages by rank (see the Department of Art as an example). This is because the UO's distribution of ranks is different than the given peer group. The "All Ranks Weighted" line is intended to provide analogous data for the UO and the respective AAU peer group by making both groups "look" the same. The FTE distributions within a department, division, school/college, or the institution as a whole were weighted at 35% Professor, 30% Associate Professor, and 30% Assistant Professor for both the UO and each respective AAU Peer group. This weighting scheme is a standard scheme based on a "traditional" faculty distribution.

**Duplicating Average Salary Calculations:**

- **Unweighted average salaries:** Multiply the reported average salary for the tenure/tenure track ranks within a comparison group (department, division, school/college, or overall) by their corresponding FTEs, sum these amounts, and divide by the total FTE.

  Example: \[
  \frac{(\text{Full Professor Average Salary} \times \text{Full Professor FTE}) + (\text{Associate Professor Average Salary} \times \text{Associate Professor FTE}) + (\text{Assistant Professor Average Salary} \times \text{Assistant Professor FTE})}{\text{Full Professor FTE} + \text{Associate Professor FTE} + \text{Assistant Professor FTE}}
  \]

- **Weighted average salaries:** Multiply the reported average salary for the tenure/tenure track ranks within a comparison group (department, division, school/college, or overall) by the corresponding "traditional" weights of .35 for full professors and .30 for associate and assistant professors, sum these amounts, and divide by the total of the "traditional" weights.

  Example: \[
  \frac{(\text{Full Professor Average Salary} \times .35) + (\text{Associate Professor Average Salary} \times .30) + (\text{Assistant Professor Average Salary} \times .30)}{\text{Sum of "traditional" weights for comparison group}}
  \]

  (if a rank has zero FTE, reduce the .35 by the rank’s respective weight amount)